

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 465 985

CS 511 182

AUTHOR Fass-Holmes, Barry; Raines, Robert
TITLE Early Indications of English Learners' Reading Achievement in San Diego Public Schools after Implementation of Policy To Comply with "English Only" Law.
PUB DATE 2002-04-00
NOTE 12p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association (New Orleans, LA, April 1-5, 2002).
PUB TYPE Reports - Research (143) -- Speeches/Meeting Papers (150)
EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS Comparative Analysis; *English Only Movement; *English (Second Language); Public Schools; *Reading Achievement; Secondary Education
IDENTIFIERS California (San Diego); Proposition 227 (California 1998)

ABSTRACT

This study addresses English learner students' (ELs') reading achievement in San Diego public schools in the years after the passage of the "English only" initiative (Proposition 227). To comply with Proposition 227's requirements, all of California's public school districts administered a newly adopted English-language norm referenced test, Stanford Achievement Test, Level 9 (SAT 9) to large numbers of ELs. The statistical evidence indicated that secondary ELs' scores showed little or no improvement across the 2 years after the school system implemented policies to comply with Proposition 227. Secondary ELs SAT 9 Reading mean scaled scores for both years were only 60 or fewer points below the value corresponding to the 50th national percentile rank. Non-EL students at high socioeconomic schools (SES) answered 5-10 more items correctly than counterparts at low SES while ELs at the high secondary SES performed almost identically to counterparts at the low ones. Findings suggest that compliance with the "English Only" initiative requirements has not benefited secondary ELs' SAT 9 performance. (PM)

Early Indications Of English Learners' Reading Achievement In San
Diego Public Schools After Implementation Of Policy To Comply With
"English Only" Law

Abstract presented at the 2002 Annual Meeting
American Educational Research Association

By

Barry Fass-Holmes (San Diego, CA) and
Robert Raines (Testing Unit, San Diego City Schools)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND
DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS
BEEN GRANTED BY

B. Fass-Holmes

TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES
INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

- ☐ This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.
- ☐ Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

- Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy.

Early indications of English learners' reading achievement in San Diego public schools after implementation of policy to comply with "English only" law

Abstract presented at the 2002 Annual Meeting
American Educational Research Association

By

Barry Fass-Holmes (San Diego, CA) and
Robert Raines (Testing Unit, San Diego City Schools)

The objective of the present study was to address the following three questions using publicly available, norm-referenced test data:

* How are English learner students (ELs) in San Diego secondary public schools performing on an English reading test (SAT 9) in each year after California voters passed the "English only" initiative (Proposition 227)?

* Regarding such students, does California's standard indicator of achievement—percentage of test-takers scoring at or above the 50th national percentile rank (NPR)—show the same pattern as other indicators (mean scaled scores and number of correct test items)?

* How does the reading achievement of ELs compare with that of non-ELs (i.e., native English speakers and ELs who had been

redesignated as fluent English proficient) at low socioeconomic (SES) schools? At high SES schools?

The present study was based on a concern (rather than a theoretical framework) about educational consequences arising from California voters' passage of the "English only" initiative in June 1998. The intention of this proposition was to improve the English reading and writing skills of non-native English speaking students. It also represented a marked departure from existing bilingual education programs that "English only" proponents claimed to be ineffective.

To comply with Proposition 227's requirements, all of California's public school districts administered a newly adopted English-language norm referenced test (SAT 9) to large numbers of ELs. In some districts, ELs represented a considerable proportion of the K-12 test takers. For example, in 1998-99 (the school year immediately after Proposition 227's passage), approximately 26 percent (24,622) of the 95,953 SAT 9 test takers in San Diego City Schools (SDCS) were ELs (these numbers came from data sources cited below).

This study's methodology consisted of analyzing (descriptive statistics, unpaired comparisons, and regressions) publicly

available 1998-99 and 1999-2000 SAT 9 Reading data; these were the first two years of SAT 9 administration since Proposition 227's passage. The scope of the analyses was restricted to students attending secondary schools in SDCS. This district was used as a test case because it had 1) the second largest enrollment of all public school districts in California, 2) the largest enrollment of public school districts in San Diego County, and 3) a well-defined policy for complying with Proposition 227's requirements.

For each of the comprehensive senior (N=16) and middle/junior (N=23) high schools in the present study, SAT 9 Reading data were obtained from the California Department of Education's web sites (<http://165.74.253.20/star99/indexIndex.html> and <http://star.cde.ca.gov/star2000d/>). These data were available in tabular format disaggregated by 1) school, 2) ELs vs. non-ELs, and 3) grade levels. They were not disaggregated by individual students or classrooms.

Using data available from SDCS' web site (<http://www.sandi.net/research/reports/index.htm>) regarding the percentage of students at each secondary school who were eligible for free/reduced-price meals, we subdivided schools in this study into high SES (N=11 and 14 for senior and middle/junior high schools, respectively) and low SES (N=5 and 9, respectively). For each SES

group of schools, we then used the state's SAT 9 Reading data that disaggregated test-takers into ELs and non-ELs (the state's web site did not disaggregate data for students who were native English-speaking nor for those who had been redesignated as fluent English proficient).

Descriptive statistics and unpaired comparisons were performed on the following three indicators of SAT 9 Reading performance for ELs and non-ELs at each grade level: percentages scoring at or above the 50th NPR, mean scaled scores, and mean number of correct items on English/Language Arts (the state's values represent reading and writing combined).

Many statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) results were generated by our analyses. Perhaps the most educationally meaningful findings were those directly related to our first two objectives—how secondary ELs in SDCS performed on SAT 9 Reading in each year after Proposition 227's passage, and whether the three indicators of SAT 9 Reading performance (percentage scoring at or above the 50th NPR, mean scaled scores, and mean number of correct items) all showed the same pattern for these students.

Regarding the first objective, secondary ELs' SAT 9 Reading performance was very low on one indicator (percentage scoring at

or above the 50th NPR) while considerably better on the other two (Table 1). We also found that these students' 1999-2000 scores were essentially identical to their 1998-1999 scores on all three indicators. The statistical evidence clearly indicated that secondary ELs' scores showed little or no improvement across the two years after SDCS implemented policies to comply with Proposition 227 ($p>0.05$). This finding was generalized over school levels, grade levels, and school SES.

Regarding the second objective, of great surprise and interest was the finding that secondary ELs' SAT 9 Reading mean scaled scores for both years were only 60 or fewer points below the value corresponding to the 50th NPR. These students' scaled scores ranged between 600 and 700 points out of 900 total depending upon school level and school SES. This finding was surprising because less than 10 percent of ELs scored at or above the 50th NPR regardless of school level or SES (Table 1).

Several intriguing results were generated by analyses related to our third objective. The percentage of ELs scoring at or above the 50th NPR (2-10 percent depending upon school level and SES) on the English language reading test was lower ($p<0.01$) than the corresponding percentage of non-ELs (30-60 percent depending upon school level and SES). ELs' mean scaled scores also were lower

than non-ELs' for both years, but the performance gap on this indicator was only 60 points or less (regardless of school level or SES). ELs answered 30-40 English/Language Arts items correctly (out of 90) while non-ELs answered 50-70 items correctly.

Interestingly, non-ELs at the high SES secondary schools answered 5-10 more items correctly than counterparts at the low ones while ELs at the high SES secondary schools performed almost identically to counterparts at the low ones (Table 1).

Non-ELs' 1999-2000 SAT 9 Reading scores were essentially identical to their 1998-1999 scores and showed little or no improvement across the two years, the school levels, grade levels, school SES, and the three indicators ($p>0.05$; Table 1).

Another noteworthy finding was that the mean scaled scores of low SES schools (aggregating ELs and non-ELs) were lower ($p<0.05$) for each of the two years than corresponding values for high SES schools (regardless of school level). However, the actual difference between low and high SES secondary schools was less than 50 scaled score points out of 900 total.

Other outcomes of our descriptive and regression analyses were as follows (independent of the students' grade levels):

* High SES schools had lower proportions of SAT 9 Reading test takers who were ELs and higher proportions who were non-ELs than low SES schools.

* The higher the school's proportion of SAT 9 Reading test takers who were ELs, the lower the school's percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th NPR and vice versa.

* The percentage of non-ELs scoring at or above the 50th NPR consistently was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher at the high SES secondary schools than at the low ones. This was not true for ELs; their values were single-digit at both high and low SES schools (Table 1).

When considering our findings' educational importance, several methodological considerations must be kept in mind. First, this study was intentionally restricted to secondary students in SDCS. The generalizability to elementary students and other public school districts in California remains to be assessed. Second, because the publicly available SAT 9 data were not disaggregated by student, we could not perform a cohort analysis or control for student-level variables (e.g., the students' number of years in the system). Third, in addition to administering a reading test in English to ELs, SDCS developed and implemented a new biliteracy

program (among other changes) to comply with Proposition 227.

Thus, our observations about SAT 9 Reading performance cannot be attributed to a single causal factor.

Keeping the above caveats in mind, the present findings are educationally important for the following reasons. First, compliance with the "English only" initiative's requirements evidently has not yet benefited secondary ELs' SAT 9 Reading performance in SDCS. Such students collectively have shown little, if any, improvement across the two years since Proposition 227's passage. Second, although low SES secondary schools in SDCS that have been characterized as "failing" are statistically different from high SES schools on the percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th NPR, the present findings suggest that an increase in the number of correct test items by as few as 5 could warrant a more favorable characterization of these schools.

The present findings have the following potentially important instructional policy implications.

* If a similar pattern of SAT 9 Reading scores is observed in comparable analyses on 2000-01 data, they could warrant a reconsideration of Proposition 227's requirement that ELs take a norm-referenced reading test in English.

* In light of the present finding that a school's SAT 9 Reading performance indicators are inversely related to the proportion of test takers who are ELs, Proposition 227's requirement that ELs take a norm-referenced reading test in English might be more detrimental to low SES schools than high SES ones.

* Schools with high proportions of ELs might benefit from focusing on aspects of English language instruction that would enable such students to answer 5-10 additional SAT 9 Reading items correctly. An analysis of individual students' scores by quartile may prove useful in identifying which ones to target.

* Continued reliance upon the percentage of students scoring at or above the 50th NPR as an indicator of school performance might provide a biased and/or inaccurate picture leading to ineffective or detrimental policy implementations. The mean number of correct items might be a more educationally meaningful indicator.

TABLE 1. SDCS SECONDARY ELs' AND NON-ELs' PERFORMANCE ON THREE INDICATORS OF SAT 9 READING ACHIEVEMENT IN EACH YEAR AFTER PASSAGE OF PROPOSITION 227

STUDENTS	SCHOOL LEVEL	SES	YEAR	% AT OR ABOVE 50th NPR	MEAN SCALED SCORE®	# CORRECT ITEMS
ELs	middle/jr	low	1998-1999	7.4	644.3	37.1
			1999-2000	6.8	644.3	36.6
		high	1998-1999	8.3	646.3	37.7
			1999-2000	9.8	650.8	37.8
	senior	low	1998-1999	2.3	657.4	34.5
			1999-2000	2.0*	658.1*	35.1*
		high	1998-1999	4.0	660.6	35.7
			1999-2000	5.5*	664.9*	37.3*

* = These values within a column are significantly different from each other, $p < 0.05$.

non-ELs	middle/jr	low	1998-1999	45.0*	679.5*	50.7*
			1999-2000	44.4**	680.1*	50.3**
		high	1998-1999	65.1*	697.3*	58.8*
			1999-2000	70.6**	701.1**	58.5**
	senior	low	1998-1999	31.7#	692.6#	50.0#
			1999-2000	27.6##	693.2##	50.8##
		high	1998-1999	50.8#	707.8#	56.0#
			1999-2000	53.4##	710.0##	57.7##

* = These values within a column are significantly different from each other, $p < 0.01$.

** = These values within a column are significantly different from each other, $p < 0.01$.

= These values within a column are significantly different from each other, $p < 0.05$.

= These values within a column are significantly different from each other, $p < 0.01$.

SES = socioeconomic status

NPR = national percentile rank

¹ The mean scaled score corresponding to the 50th NPR is 681, 691, 701, 709, and 711 for Grades 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 respectively.



U.S. Department of Education
Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI)
National Library of Education (NLE)
Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC)



REPRODUCTION RELEASE

(Specific Document)

CS 511 182

I. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION:

Title: <i>Early indications of English learners' reading achievement in San Diego public schools after implementation of policy to comply with "English only" law</i>	
Author(s): <i>Barry Fass-Holmes and Robert Raines</i>	
Corporate Source:	Publication Date:

II. REPRODUCTION RELEASE:

In order to disseminate as widely as possible timely and significant materials of interest to the educational community, documents announced in the monthly abstract journal of the ERIC system, *Resources in Education* (RIE), are usually made available to users in microfiche, reproduced paper copy, and electronic media, and sold through the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). Credit is given to the source of each document, and, if reproduction release is granted, one of the following notices is affixed to the document.

If permission is granted to reproduce and disseminate the identified document, please CHECK ONE of the following three options and sign at the bottom of the page.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 1 documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)
--

1

Level 1



Check here for Level 1 release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche or other ERIC archival media (e.g., electronic) and paper copy.

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2A documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE, AND IN ELECTRONIC MEDIA FOR ERIC COLLECTION SUBSCRIBERS ONLY, HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2A

Level 2A



Check here for Level 2A release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche and in electronic media for ERIC archival collection subscribers only

The sample sticker shown below will be affixed to all Level 2B documents

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE AND DISSEMINATE THIS MATERIAL IN MICROFICHE ONLY HAS BEEN GRANTED BY <i>Sample</i> TO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC)

2B

Level 2B



Check here for Level 2B release, permitting reproduction and dissemination in microfiche only

Documents will be processed as indicated provided reproduction quality permits.
If permission to reproduce is granted, but no box is checked, documents will be processed at Level 1.

I hereby grant to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) nonexclusive permission to reproduce and disseminate this document as indicated above. Reproduction from the ERIC microfiche or electronic media by persons other than ERIC employees and its system contractors requires permission from the copyright holder. Exception is made for non-profit reproduction by libraries and other service agencies to satisfy information needs of educators in response to discrete inquiries.

Sign
here, →
Please

Signature: <i>Barry Fass-Holmes</i>	Printed Name/Position/Title: <i>Barry Fass-Holmes, Ph.D.</i>	
Organization/Address: <i>10250 Camino del Cuervo #28 San Diego, CA 92108</i>	Telephone: <i>(619) 284-0760</i>	FAX:
	E-Mail Address:	Date: <i>4-8-02</i>

III. DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY INFORMATION (FROM NON-ERIC SOURCE):

If permission to reproduce is not granted to ERIC, or, if you wish ERIC to cite the availability of the document from another source, please provide the following information regarding the availability of the document. (ERIC will not announce a document unless it is publicly available, and a dependable source can be specified. Contributors should also be aware that ERIC selection criteria are significantly more stringent for documents that cannot be made available through EDRS.)

Publisher/Distributor:
Address:
Price:

IV. REFERRAL OF ERIC TO COPYRIGHT/REPRODUCTION RIGHTS HOLDER:

If the right to grant this reproduction release is held by someone other than the addressee, please provide the appropriate name and address:

Name:
Address:

V. WHERE TO SEND THIS FORM:

Send this form to the following ERIC Clearinghouse:

**ERIC CLEARINGHOUSE ON ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
1129 SHRIVER LAB
COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742-5701
ATTN: ACQUISITIONS**

However, if solicited by the ERIC Facility, or if making an unsolicited contribution to ERIC, return this form (and the document being contributed) to:

**ERIC Processing and Reference Facility
4483-A Forbes Boulevard
Lanham, Maryland 20706**

**Telephone: 301-552-4200
Toll Free: 800-799-3742
FAX: 301-552-4700
e-mail: ericfac@inet.ed.gov
WWW: <http://ericfac.piccard.csc.com>**